

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# PEAK EXPIRATORY FLOW RATE WITH SALBUTAMOL PLUS IPRATROPIUM BROMIDE VERSUS SALBUTAMOL ALONE IN ACUTE ASTHMA IN CHILDREN IN LAHORE, PAKISTAN: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

 Muhammad Abdullah Butt<sup>1</sup>,  Muhammad Affan Arif Butt<sup>2</sup>,  Sonia Saleem<sup>2</sup>,  Bin Tul Huda<sup>2</sup>,  
 Muhammad Maaz Arif<sup>3</sup>,  Shahid Mahmood Sahi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Major Shabbir Sharif Shaheed THQ Hospital, Kunjah, Gujrat, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Al-Aleem Medical College, Lahore, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Education, University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Paediatric asthma usually presents with acute exacerbation and requires hospital admission and emergency management. The objective of this study was to compare the peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan.

**Material & Methods:** This randomized controlled trial was undertaken at The Children's Hospital and The Institute of Child Health, Lahore, Pakistan from 14-04-2017 to 17-10-2017. One hundred children with acute asthma with age 2-12 years were enrolled. Fifty patients each were randomly assigned to experimental and control groups by lottery method. At presentation, PEFR was measured by using a peak flow meter. Experimental group received three doses of 2.5 mg salbutamol and 500 mcg of ipratropium at 20 minutes intervals. Control group received three doses of 2.5 mg salbutamol alone. PEFR was again measured after 60 minutes.

**Results:** Mean age in experimental group was  $9.60 \pm 2.86$  years, whereas it was  $8.68 \pm 3.28$  years in control group, almost similar. Mean weight in experimental group was  $29.50 \pm 8.26$  kg, whereas it was  $28.24 \pm 10.07$  kg in control group, almost similar. Baseline mean PEFR% was  $43.18 \pm 4.25$  in experimental, while it was  $42.48 \pm 4.82$  in control group. Mean PEFR% at 60 minutes after intervention was  $82.88 \pm 7.54$  in experimental, while it was  $60.04 \pm 6.05$  in control group. PEFR% was higher in experimental group showing its better effect than the control group ( $p < .00001$ ).

**Conclusion:** The peak expiratory flow rate was higher with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan.

**KEY WORD:** Peak Expiratory Flow Rate; Children; Asthma; Salbutamol; Ipratropium Bromide.

**Cite as:** Butt MA, Butt MAA, Saleem S, Huda BT, Arif MM, Sahi SM. Peak expiratory flow rate with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan: a randomized controlled trial. *Gomal J Med Sci* 2022 Oct-Dec; 20(4):167-71. <https://doi.org/10.46903/gjms/20.04.1168>

## Corresponding Author:

Dr. Muhammad Affan Arif Butt  
Department of Pediatrics,  
Al-Aleem Medical College,  
Lahore, Pakistan  
E-mail: [muhammadaffanbutt@gmail.com](mailto:muhammadaffanbutt@gmail.com)

**Date Submitted:** 11-06-2022

**Date Revised:** 02-11-2022

**Date Accepted:** 11-11-2022

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1 Background:** Asthma has become a common disease in this industrialized era and results in frequent emergency visits requiring hospital admissions and aggressive management. The paediatric age group with relatively smaller airways is more prone to exacerbations and emergency visits.<sup>1,2</sup> Airway hyper-responsiveness is the underlying etiology and inhaled corticosteroids have been used along with beta-2 agonists to control the

symptoms of asthma.<sup>3,4</sup>

Viral respiratory tract infections are the commonest cause of exacerbation in asthmatic patients, especially in the paediatric age group. In comparison to 50-75 percent of adults, 80 percent of children presenting with wheezy chest are due to viral infections.<sup>5</sup> In extreme cases, ventilatory care and ICU management may be needed in acute severe asthma.<sup>6</sup> Physicians should be trained enough to identify the severity of asthma and provide prompt treatment.<sup>7</sup>

Salbutamol is a short-acting beta-2 agonist and is used as an emergency bronchodilator. It is commonly used in racemic mixture form which contains an equal concentration of R and S isomers.<sup>8</sup> Salbutamol provides the same bronchodilator effect when used in combination with an inhaled corticosteroid.<sup>9</sup>

When salbutamol is given in combination with ipratropium, the bronchodilator effect is synergistically enhanced as shown by PEFR and forced expiratory flow rates as compared to children receiving salbutamol alone.<sup>10</sup> One trial reported that even after one hour, the mean increase in peak flow was  $94.44 \pm 33.70\%$  in the combination group ( $n=40$ ), while  $62.57 \pm 29.26\%$  in the salbutamol group ( $n=40$ ) ( $p < .0001$ ).<sup>11</sup> But other studies did not demonstrate a significant advantage in PEFR with salbutamol along with ipratropium bromide or alone.<sup>12-13</sup>

It is seen that asthma exacerbation is the third most common cause of hospital admission in children and frequently requires paediatric ICU care. In the modern age, the prevalence, as well as morbidity and mortality of asthma have risen. In Pakistan, also affected by environmental change, asthma has become a common respiratory problem.<sup>14-15</sup>

**1.2 Research objective:** To compare the peak expiratory flow rate with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan.

**1.3 Null hypothesis:** The peak expiratory flow rate with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide is the same as with salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan.

**1.4 Operational definition:** In the current study, acute asthma is defined as a respiratory condition assessed by difficulty in breathing, wheezing and  $PEFR < 50\%$  on the peak flow meter.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

**2.1 Design, setting and duration:** It was a randomized controlled trial conducted at The Children's Hospital and The Institute of Child Health, Lahore, Pakistan from 14-04-2017 to 17-10-2017. Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Ethical Committee. Consents of the parents/

guardians were sought before inclusion in the trial.

**2.2 Sampling:** One hundred children fulfilling the selection criteria were enrolled in the study from the emergency section of The Children Hospital Lahore. Fifty patients each were then randomized into two equal groups by lottery method to experimental group and control group.

**2.3 Selection criteria:** All children presenting with acute severe asthma with age 2-12 years were eligible. Those with congenital malformations (cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy) and those already given salbutamol therapy (from the medical record) were excluded.

**2.4 Equipment, procedure and intervention:** At the presentation, PEFR was measured by using a peak flow meter. Experimental group received three doses of 2.5 mg salbutamol and 500 mcg of ipratropium at 20 minutes intervals. The control group received three doses of 2.5 mg salbutamol alone. PEFR was again measured after 60 minutes.

**2.5 Data collection plan:** Sex (boys/girls), weight in kg and baseline PEFR % were matching variables. PEFR % at 60 minutes was a single research variable. Sex was measured at nominal, while all other variables were measured on ratio scale.

**2.6 Data analysis plan:** Sex was evaluated in terms of count and percentage. The mean and standard deviation of weight in kg and PEFR in percentage were calculated. An independent samples t-test with an alpha of .05 was used to test the null hypothesis for the comparison of the two groups. The mean and standard deviation of the two groups, as well as their difference, confidence interval of their difference, t-value, degrees of freedom, and significance (2-tailed), were generated through IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, v.21.0, released 2012 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

## 3. RESULTS

**3.1 Descriptive analysis:** Fifty children in experimental group included 31 (62%) boys and 19 girls (38%), while 50 children in control group included 30 (60%) boys and 20 (40%) girls; almost similar in proportion.

The mean age was  $9.60 \pm 2.86$  years in experimental group, while it was  $8.68 \pm 3.28$  years in control group; both were closely similar. The mean weight was  $29.50 \pm 8.26$  kg in experimental group, while it was  $28.24 \pm 10.07$  kg in control group; again both were almost similar.

The baseline mean PEFR % was  $43.18 \pm 4.25$  in experimental group, while it was  $42.48 \pm 4.82$  in control group; almost similar.

The mean PEFR % at 60 minutes after intervention/manipulation was  $82.88 \pm 7.54$  in experimental group, while it was  $60.04 \pm 6.05$  in control group.

**Table 1: Peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan**

Groups	Sample size	Mean	SD	Difference of means	95%CI of difference		t value	d.f.	p-value
					Lower	Upper			
Experimental	n1=50	82.88	7.54	22.84	20.13	25.55	16.71	49	< .00001
Control	n2=50	60.04	6.05						

**3.2 Hypothesis testing:** Mean PEFR percentages were compared between the two groups using independent samples t test at alpha .05. As p-value < .00001 was lower than alpha level of .05, hence  $H_0$  was verified to be false and hence rejected, showing statistically significantly higher value for experimental group. (Table 1)

#### 4. DISCUSSION

In our study, the mean PEFR% at 60 minutes after intervention/ manipulation was  $82.88 \pm 7.54$  in experimental group, while it was  $60.04 \pm 6.05$  in control group. Significantly higher PEFR % was achieved with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide than with salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan ( $p < .00001$ ).

Similar results are reported by Joiya, et al.<sup>16</sup> from Multan, Pakistan in 2017-18 in an RCT with 52 patients each in salbutamol alone and salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide. They found higher mean PEFR% in combination group  $87.12 \pm 17.10$  than the salbutamol alone group  $68.69 \pm 18.64$  ( $p = < .0001$ ).

Memon, et al.<sup>13</sup> from Karachi, Pakistan enrolled 100 patients each in salbutamol only and salbutamol plus ipratropium groups in 2012-13. They used Bentur Clinical Scores (BCS) for post-intervention analysis. There was no difference for BCS at 15 minutes after the last dose between the two groups ( $4.9 \pm 2.1$  vs.  $4.4 \pm 2.4$ ,  $p = > .05$ ).

Singh, et al.<sup>17</sup> from India examined the bronchodilator effects of salbutamol and ipratropium bromide in 30 patients of bronchial asthma; pre and post exercise. Salbutamol showed improvement in PEFR by 15.96% from basal values, whereas Ipratropium bromide showed improvement by 13.01%. Salbutamol was found to be a better drug among smokers, those with a family history of bronchial asthma, and in younger age groups. Ipratropium bromide performed better in adults over the age of 40 years and those with eosinophilia.

Hossain, et al.<sup>11</sup> from Bangladesh documented in acute severe asthma patients that a 60-minute increase in peak flow was about 32% greater in the salbutamol plus Ipratropium combination

group ( $n=40$ ) as compared to salbutamol alone group ( $n=40$ ) ( $94.44 \pm 33.70\%$  vs.  $62.57 \pm 29.26\%$ ,  $p < .0001$ ) and the percentage predicted peak flow reached 60% for the combination group while not for salbutamol group.

Children getting the nebulized drug in combination showed up to 25-75% improvement in percent-predicted PEFR and forced expiratory flow when compared to children nebulized with salbutamol alone. A synergistic effect was seen when ipratropium was given with salbutamol via a meter dose inhaler with a spacer for acute asthma exacerbation.<sup>10</sup>

Sharma, et al.<sup>18</sup> from Rohtak, Haryana, India concluded that combined nebulization with Ipratropium and salbutamol is helpful in moderate severity of asthma. Significantly better results were seen 80% of PEFR starting at 30 minutes and lasting about 4 hours in the combination groups.

Watanasomsiri, et al.<sup>12</sup> from Pathumthani, Thailand, from September 2001 to February 2003 on 74 paediatric asthma patients demonstrated clear advantage of using ipratropium with salbutamol. Asthma clinical score and PEFR were used to assess improvement. It was seen that a greater number of subjects in the treatment group showed PEFR of 100 percent or above when compared with the control group. Moreover, there were no additional side effects on heart rate, respiratory rate and blood pressure when ipratropium nebulizations were added to salbutamol. The results obtained were not significant but the favourable response of adding ipratropium bromide to the salbutamol regimen was demonstrated and consistent with other studies.

A study from the European zone comprising 434 children with severe asthma demonstrated that FEV-1 improved significantly in the combination group as compared to the salbutamol alone group. The same study concluded that adding anti-cholinergic to beta-2 agonists significantly reduces the hospitalization rate in patients (27.4% vs 36.5%) presenting with severe exacerbation of asthma.<sup>19</sup>

O'Driscoll, et al.<sup>20</sup> performed a double-blind study of nebulized bronchodilator treatment in

56 asthma and 47 COPD patients. Peak flow rate (PFR) was measured before and one hour after the treatment. PFR increased by a mean of 31% in 23 asthmatic patients given salbutamol alone one hour after treatment, but by a mean of 77% in 33 similar patients given combination treatment (95% confidence interval for the difference 8-84%). The immediate PFR response to a combination of salbutamol and ipratropium bromide was better in acute asthma than the response to nebulized salbutamol alone. Both drugs were equally beneficial to COPD patients.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In our study, the peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) was higher with salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in acute asthma in children in Lahore, Pakistan.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee MO, Sivasankar S, Pokrajac N, Smith C, Lumba-Brown A. Emergency department treatment of asthma in children: A review. *J Am Coll Emerg Physicians Open* 2020;1(6):1552-15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/emp2.12224>
2. Alhasoon MA, Alharbi AN, Almohamadi WS, et al. Reasons for recurrent visits of emergency department by pediatric asthmatic patients in Al-Qassim Region. *J Family Med Prim Care* 2020;9(4):2099-2103. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\\_966\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_966_19)
3. Liang TZ, Chao JH. Inhaled Corticosteroids [updated 2022 May 15]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29261858/>
4. Hsu E, Bajaj T. Beta 2 Agonists. [updated 2022 Jun 23]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing 2022. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK542249/>
5. Jackson DJ, Sykes A, Mallia P, Johnston SL. Asthma exacerbations: origin, effect, and prevention. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;128(6):1165-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2011.10.024>
6. Al-Shamrani A, Al-Harbi AS, Bagais K, Alenazi A, Alqwaiee M. Management of asthma exacerbation in the emergency departments [published correction appears in *Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2020;7(4):212]. *Int J Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2019;6(2):61-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpam.2019.02.001>
7. Ortiz-Alvarez O, Mikrogianakis A, Committee AC. Managing the paediatric patient with an acute asthma exacerbation. *Paediatr Child Health* 2012;17(5):251. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pch/17.5.251>
8. Williams DM, Rubin BK. Clinical pharmacology of bronchodilator medications. *Respir Care* 2018;63(6):641-54. <https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.06051>
9. Desai C. Meyler's side effects of drugs: The international encyclopedia of adverse drug reactions and interactions. *Indian J Pharmacol* 2016;48(2):224. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4825447/>
10. Chakraborti A, Lodha R, Pandey R, Kabra S. Randomized controlled trial of ipratropium bromide and salbutamol versus salbutamol alone in children with acute exacerbation of asthma. *Indian J Pediatr* 2006;73(11):979-83. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02758300>
11. Hossain A, Barua U, Roy G, Sutradhar S, Rahman I, Rahman G. Comparison of salbutamol and ipratropium bromide versus salbutamol alone in the treatment of acute severe asthma. *Mymensingh Med J* 2013;22(2):345-52. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23715360/>
12. Watanasomsiri A, Phipatanakul W. Comparison of nebulized ipratropium bromide with salbutamol vs salbutamol alone in acute asthma exacerbation in children [randomized controlled trial]. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2006;96(5):701-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206\(10\)61068-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1081-1206(10)61068-X)
13. Memon BN, Parkash A, Khan KMA, Gowa MA, Bai C. Response to nebulized salbutamol versus combination with ipratropium bromide in children with acute severe asthma. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2016;66(3):243-6. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26968269/>
14. Kohistani TA. Acute severe asthma; salbutamol plus ipratropium bromide nebulization versus salbutamol nebulization alone. *Professional Med J* 2007;14:586-90. <https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2007.14.04.4810>
15. Rao NA, Rizvi N. The efficacy of Salbutamol delivered by inhaler plus spacer device and nebulizer in acute asthma: A comparative study. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2002;12:579-82. <http://www.ayubmed.edu.pk/JAMC/PAST/21-1/Fayaz.pdf>
16. Joiya SJ, Khan MA, Haq FU, Ahmad Z. Comparison of outcome of salbutamol alone and salbutamol in combination with ipratropium bromide in acute asthma in children. *Professional Med J* 2020;27(6):1103-7. <https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2020.27.06.3218>
17. Singh JP, Singh R, Gupta RC, Bharadwaja B. A comparative study of bronchodilator actions of ipratropium bromide (atrovent) & salbutamol (ventolin) on exercise induced bronchial asthma. *J Assoc Physicians India* 1992 Aug;40(8):545-7. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1308496/>
18. Sharma A, Madaan A. Nebulized salbutamol vs salbutamol and ipratropium combination in asthma. *Indian J Pediatr* 2004;71(2):121-4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02723090>

19. Qureshi F, Pestian J, Davis P, Zaritsky A. Effect of nebulized ipratropium on the hospitalization rates of children with asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339(15):1030-5. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199810083391503>
20. O'Driscoll BR, Taylor RJ, Horsley MG, Chambers DK, Bernstein A. Nebulised salbutamol with and without ipratropium bromide in acute airflow obstruction. *Lancet* 1989 Jun 24;1(8652):1418-20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(89\)90126-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(89)90126-8)

**CONFLICT OF INTEREST**  
Authors declare no conflict of interest.  
**GRANT SUPPORT AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**  
None declared.

#### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

The following authors have made substantial contributions to the manuscript as under:

Conception or Design: MAB, MAAB  
Acquisition, Analysis or Interpretation of Data: MAB, MAAB, SS, MMA, BTH, SMS  
Manuscript Writing & Approval: MAB, MAAB, SS, MMA, BTH, SMS

All the authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.



Copyright © 2022. Muhammad Abdullah Butt, et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution & reproduction in any medium provided that original work is cited properly.