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ABSTRACT

Background: Urolithiasis is the third common disease of the urinary tract after UTIs and pathological diseases of the prostate. The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of doxazosin versus tamsulosin in lower ureteric stone expulsion in adult population of District Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

Materials & Methods: This non-randomized control trial was conducted in the Department of Urology, Gomal Medical College, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan from February 2020 to December 2020. The 252 patients included in our study were divided into two groups. The patients in experimental group received Tab. doxazosin 4 mg daily for 4 weeks and Tab. diclofenac sodium 50 mg B.D for 5 days and then on need basis. The patients in control group received Cap. tamsulosin 0.4 mg daily for 4 weeks and similarly Tab. diclofenac sodium 50 mg as in experimental group. All the patients were followed regularly for expulsion of ureteric stones for four weeks. Sex, age and stone size were matching, while stone expulsion was a research variable. Hypothesis was verified by McNemar chi-square test.

Results: Out of 252 patients, 113 (89.68%) patients in experimental group, while 77 (61.11%) patients in control group passed the stones. There was statistically significant difference in efficacy in doxazosin group as compared to tamsulosin group (p-value = <.0001).

Conclusion: The use of doxazosin as the medical expulsion therapy for the lower ureteric stone proved to be more effective as compared to tamsulosin as demonstrated by our results.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background: Urolithiasis is one of the commonest diseases in urology.¹ The prevalence of the urolithiasis is 1-15% approximately with different probability of having urinary stones depending upon age, sex, race and geographic location.² Ureteral stones comprise approximately 20% of urolithiasis cases.³,⁴ Ureteric stones usually present with acute pain colicky in nature.¹ Now we have different treatment options for the ureteric stones e.g. expectant (conservative), medical expulsion, extra corporeal shockwave lithotripsy, URS and conventional open surgery. Different less invasive treatment options are effective and safe but they are associated with the known complications and may cause unnecessary economic burden as significant proportion of stones pass spontaneously.⁵ Comparatively treatment option that are conservative are simple in nature and cheap but can have different complications e.g. colicky pain (recurrent episodes), urinary tract infections and sometimes may lead to renal damage.³,⁶
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the expulsion of the stone. The two significant factors are the stone size and location of the stone within the ureter. As the stone size is one of the most significant parameters, regarding new guidelines, small stones i.e. size less than 5 mm have the chances approximately 68% to clear spontaneously. Second factor is the stone location in the ureter. Patients having distal ureteric stones have more chances to pass the stone than patients having proximal stones. A research demonstrated that the use of the drugs called medical expulsion therapy (MET) to facilitate stone passage improves the outcomes. Different previous options included furosemide, calcium channel blockers and corticosteroids, which have been evaluated as MET to improve ureteral stone expulsion with inconsistent results. Recently alpha-1 antagonists are considered to be the most effective drugs for MET based on the results of meta-analysis.

The human ureter is supplied by three subtypes of alpha adrenoceptors i.e., α-1a, α-1b and α-1d. In 2007, Itoh, et al. demonstrated the distribution of alpha receptor subtypes in the proximal ureter as “α-1a > α-1b”, on the other hand, in distal ureter, α-1d expression was significantly higher than α-1a and α-1b expression. Various studies have been conducted on the role of the alpha blockers in expulsion of the lower ureteric stones. Tamsulosin is alpha blocker with several times more affinity for α-1a than α-1d and α-1b and has shown impressive results in the expulsion of lower ureteric stones.

Celik, et al. in 2018 demonstrated the stone expulsion rate between alfuzosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, silodosin and tadalafil in cases of lower ureteric stone as 78.1%, 75.7%, 76.5%, 88.6% and 90% respectively.

1.2 Research Objective: The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of doxazosin versus tamsulosin in lower ureteric stone expulsion in adult population of District Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

Research (Null) Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in the efficacy of doxazosin versus tamsulosin in lower ureteric stone expulsion in adult population of District Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

1.4 Significance of study: This study will guide the urologists in treating lower ureteric stones as medical treatment has minimal complications and minimal cost. This will help us in decreasing the unnecessary surgical interventions in patients with lower ureteric stones.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Design, Setting, Duration, Population & Sampling: This non-randomized control trial was done in the Department of Urology, Gomal Medical College, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan from February 2020 to December 2020. We enlisted the patients from the urology outdoor and emergency of DHQ Teaching Hospital.

Sample size was calculated 248 by online calculator i.e. https://www.openepi.com/SampleSize/SSCohort.htm with 5% level of significance, 80% power of test and taking expected percentage of efficacy as 79.4% in doxazosin group vs. 62.5% in tamsulosin group in patients with lower ureteric stone. We enrolled 270 patients to overcome for lost to follow ups and drop outs, with 235 in each group. Sampling was done by non probability consecutive sampling.

Inclusion criterion was all adult (15-60 years) patients with lower ureteric stone 5-10 mm in size. Exclusion criteria were patients with febrile illness, severe pain uncontrolled with oral analgesics, history of urological surgical intervention in past two weeks and patients having active urinary tract infection.

2.2 Conduct of procedure: The consent from the patients was taken. The patients were divided in two groups; experimental group and control group by lottery method. The patients in experimental group received Tab. doxazosin 4 mg daily for 4 weeks and Tab. diclofenac sodium 50 mg B.D for 5 days and then on need basis for pain management. The patients in control group received Cap. tamsulosin 0.4 mg daily for 4 weeks and similarly Tab. diclofenac sodium 50 mg as in experimental group. All the patients were followed regularly for expulsion of ureteric stones by X-ray KUB or CT KUB till four weeks.

2.3 Data Collection and Analysis Plan: Age in years, gender and the stone size were matching variables and the stone expulsion was our research variable. Age in years was on ratio scale and was analyzed by mean and SD. Sex and stone expulsion were two nominal variables and were analyzed by count and percentage. We had only posttest data, so hypothesis was tested by McNemar chi-square test with Yates correction for continuity at alpha 0.5 by using GraphPad.

3. RESULTS

Out of 270 patients with lower ureteric stones, we assigned 135 patients to each of the two groups. Four patients from the experimental group and five patients from the control group lost to follow up. Early surgical intervention was indicated in five patients from the experimental group and four from the control group and then these were dropped from the study. In each group 126 patients completed the study.

There were 82 (65.07%) male patients and 44 (34.93%) female patients in experimental group, while there were 76 (60.32%) males and 50 (39.68%) female patients in control group; approximately similar. The mean age of the patients in experimental group was 33.45±12.23 years and in the control group it was 36.38±10.28 years with negligible difference.

The mean stone size was in the experimental group was 7.25±1.38 (5-10) mm. In the control group it was 7.38±1.43 (5-10) mm; comparable in the both groups.
Stone expulsion was achieved in 113 (89.68%) patients in experimental group and in 77 (61.11%) patients in control group. Stone expulsion was not achieved in 13 patients in the experimental group and 49 patients in the control group. There was statistically significant difference between the groups, with significantly higher efficacy in doxazosin group as compared to tamsulosin group with p-value of <.0001. (Table 1)

4. DISCUSSION

In our study the stone expulsion rate was 89.68% in doxazosin group, while in tamsulosin group it was 61.11%. Our study showed that doxazosin was more effective than tamsulosin for lower ureteric stone expulsion in adult population of district Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan.

The minimally invasive techniques i.e. ESWL and URS for ureteral stones are effective, but still they are invasive and costly and are associated with known complications. Medical expulsion has been recommended for the small distal ureteric stones according to the recent guidelines. We evaluated the current data on the safety and the efficacy of medical expulsion by α-blockers. Their mechanism of the action is by relaxation of the smooth muscles of the ureter and the evidence of the efficacy and low adverse effects suggest that α-1 adrenergic antagonists (α-blockers) should be the initial treatment in patients with lower ureteric stones. Most of the studies have used tamsulosin (a selective alpha-1a blocker) which improves the spontaneous expulsion rate of distal ureteric calculus. Only few studies have described the use of doxazosin, which is a non selective α-1 adrenergic receptor blocker for expulsion of distal ureteric stones. Doxazosin is a drug with a proven efficacy and high specificity and sensitivity for the treatment of BPH. So the present study was carried out to compare the efficacy of non selective alpha blockers vs. selective alpha blockers for expulsion of distal ureteric stone. Stone expulsion rate was higher in experimental group and the difference was statistically significant in favor of doxazosin. This increased expulsion rate may be due to blockage of all alpha-1 receptors by doxazosin as compared to tamsulosin which is more selective for alpha-1a than alpha-1d and least sensitive to alpha-1b.

As reported by Itoh et al. that expression of the α-1d receptors was more in all regions of the ureter but was in the order of α-1d≥α-1a>α-1b in proximal and the middle ureter. They also showed that in the distal ureter, α-1d subtype expression was significantly more than the α-1a and the α-1b expression.

Similar results were shown as our study by Zehri, et al. in 2010, in which they demonstrated that stone expulsion rate in distal ureteric stone was 70% in doxazosin group as compared to placebo group in which it was 38% with significant difference (p=.009). Similarly the doxazosin group had less morbidity as evidenced by pain scores and also had decreased time required for stone passage.

Similar to our results, Sen, et al. compared the different doses of doxazosin i.e. 4 mg and 8 mg with placebo in 66 patients with distal ureteric stone. All patients were randomly divided into three groups: group 1 included 25 patients receiving 4 mg doxazosin, group 2 included 22 patients receiving 8 mg doxazosin and group 3 consisted of 19 patients who were defined as control group and received only hydration and analgesics. The stone expulsion rate was 72% (18/25) in group 1, 68.1% (15/22) in group 2 and 25.3% (5/19) in group 3. They showed that stone expulsion rate was statistically significantly higher in group 1 and 2 as compared to control group. They also concluded that 4 mg and 8 mg of doxazosin were nearly equally effective in cases of lower ureteric stone.

Contrary to our results, Celik, et al. in 2018 demonstrated the difference between stone expulsion rate between alfuzosin, doxazosin, tamsulosin, silodosin and tadalafil in cases of lower ureteric stone. They showed the stone expulsion rate between the respective groups as 78.1%, 75.7%, 76.5%, 88.6% and 90% respectively. They showed similar results for doxazosin and tamsulosin (p= 0.44).
CONCLUSION
The use of doxazosin as the medical expulsion therapy for the lower ureteric stone proved to be more effective as compared to tamsulosin as demonstrated by our results. It is recommended that the patients of the lower ureteric stone should be advised doxazosin therapy for improvement in the chances of stone passage.
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